

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action dated February 4, 2008, having a three month response due date of May 5, 2008, as May 4, 2008 falls on a Sunday.

Claims 2-6, 8 and 15 stand rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of Patent No. 6,744,739.


Applicants submit herewith a properly executed Terminal Disclaimer in full compliance with 37 C.F.R. 3.73(b), thereby obviating the present rejection.

Claims 7, 9-11 and 16-17 were objected to, but in view of the terminal disclaimer, Applicant submits this objection is no longer proper.

Claims 12-14 are deemed allowable.

For at least the reasons discussed herein, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and allow all the pending claims. To expedite prosecution of this application to allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative to discuss any issues relating to this application.

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy J. Bechen
Reg. No. 48,126
DREIER LLP
499 Park Ave.
New York, New York 10022
Tel : (212) 328-6100
Fax: (212) 328-6101

Dated: May 5, 2008

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH
THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE EFS
FILING SYSTEM ON May 5, 2008.

Customer No. 61834